

#### Markov Model and Markov Property

Prof. Yan Wang Woodruff School of Mechanical Engineering Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, GA 30332, U.S.A. <u>yan.wang@me.gatech.edu</u>

# Learning Objectives

- ■To understand the concept of independence in probability
- To familiarize the Markov model and Markov property
- To familiarize Chapman-Kolmogorov equation



# Independence

- One of the most important concepts defined in probability theory is independence.
- The concept of independence is essential to decompose a complex problem into simpler and manageable components.
- Markov models rely on assumptions of independence.



### **Definition of Independence**

■ **Definition 3.1** (*Conditional Independence*). For  $A, B, C \in A$ , *A* is said to be *conditionally independent* with *B* on *C* if and only if  $p(A \cap B | C) = p(A | C)p(B | C).$ 

**Definition 3.2** (*Independence*). For  $A, B \in A$ , A is said to be *independent* with B if and only if  $p(A \cap B) = p(A)p(B)$ .

Independence can be seen as a special case of Conditional Independence where  $C=\Omega$ .



#### **Knowledge Accumulation**

#### **Lemma 3.1.** For $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ , $p(A \cap B \mid C) = p(A \mid B \cap C) p(B \mid C)$





#### **Equivalent Views of Independence**

**Theorem 3.2.** For  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $p(A \cap B \mid C) = p(A \mid C)p(B \mid C) \Leftrightarrow p(A \mid B \cap C) = p(A \mid C)$ 

# **Proof.** $p(A \cap B \mid C) = p(A \mid B \cap C) p(B \mid C) = p(A \mid C) p(B \mid C)$ $\Leftrightarrow p(A \mid B \cap C) = p(A \mid C)$



# **Graphoid Properties**

- The most intuitive meaning of 'independence' is that an independence relationship satisfies several graphoid properties.
- □With *X*,*Y*,*Z*,*W* as sets of disjoint random variables and " $\perp$ " denoting independence and " $\mid$ " as condition, the axioms of graphoid are:
  - (A1) Symmetry
  - (A2) Decomposition
  - (A3) Weak union
  - (A4) Contraction
  - (A5) Intersection



### Graphoid - Symmetry

#### $X \perp Y \mid Z \Longrightarrow Y \perp X \mid Z$

**Remark 3.1**. If knowing *Y* does not tell us more about *X*, then similarly knowing *X* does not tell us more about *Y*.



### Graphoid - Decomposition

# $X \perp (W, Y) \mid Z \Longrightarrow X \perp Y \mid Z$

**Remark 3.2**. If combined two pieces of information is irrelevant to X, either individual one is also irrelevant to X.



### Graphoid - Weak Union

 $X \perp (W, Y) \mid Z \Longrightarrow X \perp W \mid (Y, Z)$ 

**Remark 3.3**. Gaining more information about irrelevant *Y* does not affect the irrelevance between X and W.



### **Graphoid - Contraction**

$$(X \perp Y \mid Z) \land (X \perp W \mid (Y, Z)) \Rightarrow X \perp (W, Y) \mid Z$$

**Remark 3.4**. If two pieces of information X and Y are irrelevant with prior knowledge of Z and X is also irrelevant to a third piece of information W after knowing Y, then X is irrelevant to both W and Y before knowing Y.



### **Graphoid - Intersection**

 $\left(X \perp W \mid \left(Y, Z\right)\right) \land \left(X \perp Y \mid \left(W, Z\right)\right) \Rightarrow X \perp \left(W, Y\right) \mid Z$ 

Remark 3.5. If combined information W and Y is relevant to X, then at least either W or Y is relevant to X after learning the other.



#### **Discrete-Time Markov Chain**

#### ■State transition diagram





### Markov Property

□A Markov chain represents a Markov process of state transitions, where the "memoryless" Markov property is assumed.  $P\left(x^{(t+1)} \mid x^{(t)}, \dots, x^{(0)}\right) = P\left(x^{(t+1)} \mid x^{(t)}\right)$ □Loosely speaking, the future state of a random variable  $x^{(t+1)}$  at time *t*+1 only depends on its current state  $x^{(t)}$ , not the complete transition history.



#### **Transition Probability**



$$P(x^{(t+1)} = j \mid x^{(t)} = i) = \gamma_{ij} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3)$$



#### **Transition Matrix**

$$\Gamma = \left(\gamma_{ij}\right)_{3\times 3} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{12} & \gamma_{13} \\ \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{23} \\ \gamma_{31} & \gamma_{32} & \gamma_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

**~** 3

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} \gamma_{ij} = 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3)$$

 $\gamma_{22}$ 

x = 2

Georgia Tech

 $\gamma_{23}$ 

 $\gamma_{21}$ 

x = 3

 $\gamma_{33}$ 

 $\gamma_{32}$ 

 $\gamma_{13}$ 

K

 $\gamma_{31}$ 

#### **State Vector**

$$\Delta^{\left(t\right)} = \left(\delta_1^{\left(t\right)}, \delta_2^{\left(t\right)}, \delta_3^{\left(t\right)}\right)$$

where 
$$P(x^{(t)} = i) = \delta_i \ (i = 1, 2, 3)$$



#### **State Transition**

State update  $\Delta^{(t+1)} = \Delta^{(t)} \Gamma$ 

#### ■Stationary distribution

 $\Delta = \Delta \Gamma$ 



**Extensions of Discrete-Time Markov Chain** 

- □When the transition matrix  $\Gamma^{(t)}$  is not constant, it is called a *non-homogeneous Markov chain*.
- ■When the time is not discrete, it is called *continuous-time Markov chain*.



# Ergodicity

**Definition 3.3**. A Markov chain  $\Gamma^{(t)} = (\gamma_{ij}^{(t)})$  is called *irreducible* if for all states  $x \in \Omega$ , there exists a time *t* such that  $\gamma_{ij}^{(t)} > 0 \ (\forall i, j)$ 

"every state is eventually reachable"

**Definition 3.4.** A Markov chain  $\Gamma^{(t)} = (\gamma_{ij}^{(t)})$ is called *aperiodic* if for all states  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $gcd \{t : \gamma_{ij}^t > 0\} = 1$ .

"it doesn't get caught in cycles"

**Definition 3.5**. A Markov chain is called *ergodic* if it is both irreducible and aperiodic.



### **Chapman-Kolmogorov Equation**

□Suppose there are a total of *K* states

$$P\left(x^{(t+s)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right)$$
  
=  $\sum_{k=1}^{K} P\left(x^{(t+s)} = j \mid x^{(s)} = k\right) P\left(x^{(s)} = k \mid x^{(0)} = i\right)$ 



#### $\Box$ For a small time step h

$$P\left(x^{(t+h)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right)$$
  
=  $\sum_{k=1}^{K} P\left(x^{(t+h)} = j \mid x^{(t)} = k\right) P\left(x^{(t)} = k \mid x^{(0)} = i\right)$ 

$$= \sum_{k \neq j} P\left(x^{(t+h)} = j \mid x^{(t)} = k\right) P\left(x^{(t)} = k \mid x^{(0)} = i\right)$$
$$+ P\left(x^{(t+h)} = j \mid x^{(t)} = j\right) P\left(x^{(t)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right)$$



$$P\left(x^{(t+h)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right) - P\left(x^{(t)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right)$$
$$= \sum_{k \neq j} P\left(x^{(t+h)} = j \mid x^{(t)} = k\right) P\left(x^{(t)} = k \mid x^{(0)} = i\right)$$
$$+ P\left(x^{(t)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right) \left[P\left(x^{(t+h)} = j \mid x^{(t)} = j\right) - 1\right]$$

$$= \sum_{k \neq j} P\left(x^{(t+h)} = j \mid x^{(t)} = k\right) P\left(x^{(t)} = k \mid x^{(0)} = i\right)$$
$$-P\left(x^{(t)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right) \sum_{k \neq j} P\left(x^{(t+h)} = k \mid x^{(t)} = j\right)$$



$$P\left(x^{(t+h)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right) - P\left(x^{(t)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right)$$
$$= \sum_{k \neq j} \left[ P\left(x^{(t+h)} = j \mid x^{(t)} = k\right) P\left(x^{(t)} = k \mid x^{(0)} = i\right) - P\left(x^{(t+h)} = k \mid x^{(t)} = j\right) P\left(x^{(t)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right) \right]$$
There were hence

Then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} P\left(x^{(t+h)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right) - P\left(x^{(t)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right) \end{bmatrix} / h$$
  
=  $\sum_{k \neq j} \begin{bmatrix} P\left(x^{(t)} = k \mid x^{(0)} = i\right) P\left(x^{(t+h)} = j \mid x^{(t)} = k\right) / h \\ -P\left(x^{(t)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right) P\left(x^{(t+h)} = k \mid x^{(t)} = j\right) / h \end{bmatrix}$   
Multiscale Systems Engineering Research Group

Define the jump rate as  

$$q_{k \leftarrow j}^{(t)} = P\left(x^{(t+h)} = k \mid x^{(t)} = j\right) / h \quad (j \neq k)$$

#### □Then

$$P'\left(x^{(t)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right)$$
  
=  $\sum_{k \neq j} \begin{bmatrix} q_{j \leftarrow k}^{(t)} P\left(x^{(t)} = k \mid x^{(0)} = i\right) \\ -q_{k \leftarrow j}^{(t)} P\left(x^{(t)} = j \mid x^{(0)} = i\right) \end{bmatrix}$ 



### Summary

□Independence is one of the essential properties in probability calculus

#### "Memoryless" Markov property simplifies inference in state transition



