

#### **Quantum Mechanical Simulations**

Prof. Yan Wang Woodruff School of Mechanical Engineering Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, GA 30332, U.S.A. <u>yan.wang@me.gatech.edu</u>

### Topics

Quantum Monte Carlo
Hartree-Fock Self-Consistency Field
Density Functional Theory



## Quantum Mechanical Methods

Approximation methods made to solve the Schrödinger equation

Time-dependent

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi\left(\{\mathbf{r}_i\}, \{\mathbf{R}_I\}; t\right) = \mathcal{H}\Phi\left(\{\mathbf{r}_i\}, \{\mathbf{R}_I\}; t\right)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{I} \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2M_{I}} \nabla_{I}^{2} - \sum_{i} \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m_{e}} \nabla_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{e^{2}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}\right|} + \sum_{I < J} \frac{Z_{I} Z_{J} e^{2}}{\left|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}\right|} - \sum_{I, i} \frac{Z_{I} e^{2}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{R}_{I}\right|}$$

- Time-independent  $\mathcal{H}\Psi(\{\mathbf{r}_i\}, \{\mathbf{R}_I\}) = E\Psi(\{\mathbf{r}_i\}, \{\mathbf{R}_I\})$
- "Eigenvalue problem"  $\langle \Psi \mid \mathcal{H} \mid \Psi \rangle = E \langle \Psi \mid \Psi \rangle$   $E = \langle \Psi \mid \mathcal{H} \mid \Psi \rangle / \langle \Psi \mid \Psi \rangle$

## **Quantum Mechanical Methods**

- Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC): scaling with the number of atoms N nearly exponential, and polynomials  $O(M^p)$  with respect to the number of electrons M.
- ■Hartree-Fock (HF): scaling with *O*(*N*<sup>4</sup>) or higher (depends on how the correlations are treated)
- □Density-Functional Theory (DFT): scaling with *O*(*N*<sup>3</sup>)
- □Tight-Binding (TB): scaling with  $O(N^3)$



#### **Quantum Mechanical Methods**



## Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC)

- □The most accurate (and *expensive*) approach to calculate electronic property
- Can compute both ground and excited states
- Sufficient to address most issues involving inter-atomic forces and chemical properties



# Two popular QMC methods

□Variational quantum Monte Carlo (VMC)

• The expected values are calculated via MC integration over 3N dimensional space of electron coordinates  $R = \{r_1, r_2, ..., r_N\}$ 

$$E = \frac{\langle \Psi \mid \mathcal{H} \mid \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi \mid \Psi \rangle} = \frac{\int \Psi^*(\mathbf{R}) \mathcal{H} \Psi(\mathbf{R}) d\mathbf{R}}{\int \Psi^*(\mathbf{R}) \Psi(\mathbf{R}) d\mathbf{R}}$$

Diffusion quantum Monte Carlo (DMC)

 Starting from a trial wave function, the distribution of electrons evolves along a (imaginary) time



#### VMC

#### Metropolis MC algorithm

- Start the 'walker' with a random position R;
- Make a trial move to a new position R'; with a probability density *T*(**R**'←**R**). That is, the probability that the walker is now in the volume element *d***R**' is *d***R**'×*T*(**R**'←**R**)
- Accept the trial move with probability

$$A(\mathbf{R'} \leftarrow \mathbf{R}) = \min\left(1, \frac{T(\mathbf{R} \leftarrow \mathbf{R'})\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R'})}{T(\mathbf{R'} \leftarrow \mathbf{R})\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})}\right)$$

repeat



#### VMC

- □ With an enormous number of walkers, after an equilibrium state is achieved, the average number of walkers in the volume element *d***R** is denoted by *n*(**R**)*d***R**
- Equilibrium means the average number of walkers from dR to dR' is the same as that from dR' to dR
- □ Since the probability that the next move of a walker at **R** is  $d\mathbf{R}'A(\mathbf{R}'\leftarrow\mathbf{R})T(\mathbf{R}'\leftarrow\mathbf{R})$ , the average number moving from  $d\mathbf{R}$  to  $d\mathbf{R}'$  in a single move is  $d\mathbf{R}'A(\mathbf{R}'\leftarrow\mathbf{R})T(\mathbf{R}'\leftarrow\mathbf{R})\times n(\mathbf{R})d\mathbf{R}$ .
- □ Balance:

 $A(\mathbf{R'}\leftarrow\mathbf{R})T(\mathbf{R'}\leftarrow\mathbf{R})n(\mathbf{R})d\mathbf{R}d\mathbf{R'}=A(\mathbf{R}\leftarrow\mathbf{R'})T(\mathbf{R}\leftarrow\mathbf{R'})n(\mathbf{R'})d\mathbf{R'}d\mathbf{R}$ 

• Hence  $\frac{n(\mathbf{R})}{n(\mathbf{R}')} = \frac{A(\mathbf{R} \leftarrow \mathbf{R}')T(\mathbf{R} \leftarrow \mathbf{R}')}{A(\mathbf{R}' \leftarrow \mathbf{R})T(\mathbf{R}' \leftarrow \mathbf{R})}$ 

□ Since the ratio of acceptance in Metropolis algorithm is

$$\underline{A(\mathbf{R}\leftarrow\mathbf{R'})}=\underline{T(\mathbf{R'}\leftarrow\mathbf{R})\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})}$$

$$\overline{A(\mathbf{R'} \leftarrow \mathbf{R})} = \overline{T(\mathbf{R} \leftarrow \mathbf{R'})} \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R'})$$

□ Therefore the equilibrium walker density  $n(\mathbf{R})$  is proportional to  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ 

$$\frac{n(\mathbf{R})}{n(\mathbf{R}')} = \frac{\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})}{\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}')}$$
Multiscale Systems Engineering Research Group



#### VMC

□ The expected value of  $\hat{H}$  evaluated with a trial wave function  $\Psi_T$  provides a rigorous upper bound on the exact ground-state energy  $E_0$  as

$$E_{V} = \frac{\int \Psi_{T}^{*}(\mathbf{R}) \hat{H} \Psi_{T}(\mathbf{R}) d\mathbf{R}}{\int \Psi_{T}^{*}(\mathbf{R}) \Psi_{T}(\mathbf{R}) d\mathbf{R}} \ge E_{0}$$

which is evaluated using the Metropolis MC algorithm in the form

 $E_{V} = \frac{\int |\Psi_{T}(\mathbf{R})|^{2} \Psi_{T}^{-1}(\mathbf{R}) \hat{H} \Psi_{T}(\mathbf{R}) d\mathbf{R}}{\int |\Psi_{T}(\mathbf{R})|^{2} d\mathbf{R}} \quad \text{where } \Psi_{T}^{-1} \hat{H} \Psi_{T} = E_{L} \text{ is ``Local energy''}$ 

□ The configuration-space probability density  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}) = |\Psi(\mathbf{R})|^2 / \int |\Psi(\mathbf{R})|^2 d\mathbf{R}$ 

 $\Box$  With a sample set of M points

$$E_V \approx \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M E_L(\mathbf{R}_m)$$



### VMC Algorithm





#### DMC

□solving an imaginary-time many-body Schrödinger equation  $-\frac{\partial \Phi(\mathbf{R},t)}{\partial t} = (\hat{H} - E_{T})\Phi(\mathbf{R},t)$ where  $E_T$  is an energy offset □In the integral form  $\Phi(\mathbf{R}, t + \tau) = \int G(\mathbf{R} \leftarrow \mathbf{R}', \tau) \Phi(\mathbf{R}', t) d\mathbf{R}'$ where  $G(\mathbf{R} \leftarrow \mathbf{R}', \tau) = \langle \mathbf{R} | \exp(-\tau(\hat{H} - E_T)) | \mathbf{R}' \rangle$  is a Green function



#### DMC

# □"path integral" Va $\Psi_{\rm init}$ (x) τ{ t Ψ, ω



#### DMC

#### □Fixed-node approximation



Multiscale Systems Engineering Research Group

Georgia

Hartree-Fock (HF) Self-Consistency Field (SCF)

- Efficiently calculate ground-state electronic structures
- Based on two simplifications:
  - Born-Oppenheimer approximation: solve the Schrödinger equation for the electrons in the field of static nuclei
  - Replace the many-electron Hamiltonian with an effective one-electron Hamiltonian which acts on one-electron wave functions called *orbitals*



#### **Slate Determinant**

□ HF approximation (Fock 1930; Slater 1930) is the simplest theory that incorporates the *antisymmetry* of the wave function

$$\Psi(\dots, \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j, \dots) = -\Psi(\dots, \mathbf{x}_j, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i, \dots)$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_i = \{\mathbf{r}_i, \sigma_i\}$  represents the coordinates and spin of electron *i*.

□ The antisymmetry ensures that no two electrons can have the same set of quantum numbers and the Pauli exclusion principle is satisfied.

$$\Psi(\dots, \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j, \dots) = \begin{vmatrix} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}_1) & \psi_1(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \psi_1(\mathbf{x}_N) \\ \psi_2(\mathbf{x}_1) & \psi_2(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \psi_2(\mathbf{x}_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi_N(\mathbf{x}_1) & \psi_N(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \psi_N(\mathbf{x}_N) \end{vmatrix}$$

where  $\Psi_i(\mathbf{x}_j) = \phi_i(\mathbf{r}_j) \delta_{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}$  with  $\delta_{\sigma_i,\sigma_j} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\sigma_i = \sigma_j) \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$ Multiscale Systems Engineering Research Group





□The exact ground-state wave function, as our target of calculation, cannot be represented as a single Slater determinant. □Yet we use a Slater determinant as a variational trial function and minimize the expected value of Hamiltonian w.r.t. the orbitals  $\psi_i(\mathbf{r}_i)$ 's.

□*Born-Oppenheimer* approximation

$$\mathcal{H}^{BO} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \nabla_{i}^{2} - \sum_{I,i} \frac{Z_{I}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{R}_{I}\right|} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}$$



□*Hartree-Fock* approximated Hamiltonian

$$\begin{split} H &= \sum_{i} h(i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} g(i,j) \\ \text{where } h(i) &= -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 - \sum_{n} \frac{Z_n}{|\mathbf{r_i} - \mathbf{R_n}|} \text{ and } g(i,j) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r_i} - \mathbf{r_j}|} \end{split}$$

 $\Box applied to the Slater determinant$   $\langle \Psi \mid \sum_{i} h(i) \mid \Psi \rangle = N \frac{(N-1)!}{N!} \sum_{k} \langle \psi_{k} \mid h \mid \psi_{k} \rangle = \sum_{k} \langle \psi_{k} \mid h \mid \psi_{k} \rangle = \sum_{k} \int dx \psi_{k}^{*}(x) h(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{k}(\mathbf{x}).$   $\langle \Psi \mid \sum_{ij} g(i,j) \mid \Psi \rangle = \sum_{kl} \langle \psi_{k} \psi_{l} \mid g \mid \psi_{k} \psi_{l} \rangle - \sum_{kl} \langle \psi_{k} \psi_{l} \mid g \mid \psi_{l} \psi_{k} \rangle$ where  $\langle \psi_{k} \psi_{l} \mid g \mid \psi_{m} \psi_{n} \rangle = \int \int dx_{1} dx_{2} \psi_{k}^{*}(x_{1}) \psi_{l}^{*}(x_{2}) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{1} - \mathbf{r}_{2}|} \psi_{m}(x_{1}) \psi_{n}(x_{2})$ 



**Define operators**  $J_k$  and  $K_k$  as

 $J_k\psi(x) = \int dx'\psi_k^*(x')\frac{1}{r_{12}}\psi_k(x')\psi(x) \qquad K_k\psi(x) = \int dx'\psi_k^*(x')\frac{1}{r_{12}}\psi(x')\psi_k(x)$ □ Define *Coulomb* operator *J* and *exchange* operator *K* 

$$J = \sum_{k} J_k; \qquad K = \sum_{k} K_k;$$

□ The energy becomes

$$E = \sum_{k} \langle \psi_k \mid h + \frac{1}{2} (J - K) \mid \psi_k \rangle.$$

under *orthonomality* constraint  $\langle \psi_k \psi_l \rangle = \delta_{kl}$ 

The minimization with the Lagrange multipliers Λ<sub>kl</sub> requires δE - ΣΛ<sub>kl</sub> [(δψ<sub>k</sub> | ψ<sub>l</sub>) - (ψ<sub>k</sub> | δψ<sub>l</sub>)] = 0 with δE = Σ(δψ<sub>k</sub> | F | ψ<sub>k</sub>) + (ψ<sub>k</sub> | F | δψ<sub>k</sub>), Fock operator F = h + J - K
 That is,

$$\mathcal{F}\psi_k = \sum_l \Lambda_{kl}\psi_l$$

□ The solution is  $\mathcal{F}\psi_k = \varepsilon_k \psi_k$  with *orbital energies*  $\varepsilon_k$ 's.



- $\Box \quad \mathcal{F}\psi_k = \varepsilon_k \psi_k \text{ is solved by a self-consistency}$ procedure
- the many electron problem is approximated by a sequential calculation of the motion of one electron in the average potential field generated by the rest of the electrons and nuclei.







Generalized Eigenvalue Problem • Plug  $\psi = \sum_{a} C_{p} \chi_{q}(\boldsymbol{x})$  into  $H\psi = E\psi$ , we have  $H\sum_{a} C_{p} \chi_{q}(\boldsymbol{x}) = E\sum_{a} C_{p} \chi_{q}(\boldsymbol{x})$ • With left inner product for each pair of p and q  $H_{na} = \langle \chi_n \mid H \mid \chi_a \rangle \qquad \qquad S_{na} = \langle \chi_n \mid \chi_a \rangle$ • We have HC = ESCwhere  $\mathbf{H} = [H_{pq}]$  is the Hamilton matrix,  $\mathbf{S} = [S_{pq}]$  is the *overlap* matrix,  $\mathbf{C} = [C_{pq}]$  is the *density* matrix with  $C_{pq} = 2\sum_{k=1}^{-1} C_{pk} C_{qk}^{*}$ • In unit form  $\mathbf{H'C'} = E\mathbf{C'}$ where  $C'=V^{-1}C$ ,  $H'=V^{-1}HV$ , and matrix V transforms S to the unit matrix as  $V^{-1}SV = I$ .



#### □Hartee-Fock-Roothaan equations $FC_k = \varepsilon_k SC_k$

where elements of Fock matrix  $\mathbf{F} = [F_{pq}]$  is

$$\begin{aligned} F_{pq} &= h_{pq} + \sum_{k} \sum_{rs} C_{rk}^{*} C_{sk} \left( \left. 2 \left\langle pr \mid g \mid qs \right\rangle - \left\langle pr \mid g \mid sq \right\rangle \right) \right] \\ \text{and} \quad h_{pq} &= \left\langle p \mid h \mid q \right\rangle = \int d^{3}r \chi_{p}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2} - \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{n}}}{|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{r}|} \right] \chi_{q}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \left\langle pr \mid g \mid qs \right\rangle &= \int \int d^{3}r_{1} d^{3}r_{2} \chi_{p}^{*}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \chi_{r}^{*}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{1} - \mathbf{r}_{2}|} \chi_{q}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \chi_{s}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) \\ \bullet \text{ density matrix } \mathbf{P} = [P_{pq}] \\ P_{pq} &= 2 \sum_{k} C_{pk} C_{qk}^{*} = 2 \sum_{k} C_{pk} C_{qk}^{*} \\ \bullet \text{ Fock matrix} \\ F_{pq} &= h_{pq} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{rs} P_{rs} \left( 2 \left\langle pq \mid g \mid qs \right\rangle - \left\langle pr \mid g \mid sq \right\rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$
$$\bullet \text{ Energy} \\ E &= \sum_{pq} P_{pq} h_{pq} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pqrs} P_{pq} P_{rs} \left[ \left\langle pr \mid g \mid qs \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle pr \mid g \mid sq \right\rangle \right]_{\mathsf{Geq}} \end{aligned}$$

#### **HF-SCF** Algorithm



Georgia

# Density Functional Theory (DFT)

- Very efficiently calculate ground-state electronic structures
- □Based on three simplifications:
  - Born-Oppenheimer approximation: solve the Schrödinger equation for the electrons in the field of static nuclei
  - Electrons interacts with a *density* field  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ , which is approximated by wave functions
  - Approximations of the unknown exchange-correlation energy functional, which accounts for complicated correlated motion of electrons (e.g. local-density approximation (LDA), generalized gradient approximation (GGA) ).



#### DFT

$$\Box Energy$$

$$E[\rho] = \sum_{m}^{occup} \int \psi_{m}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \left(-\frac{\nabla^{2}}{2}\right) \psi_{m}(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} + \frac{\int V_{ext}(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}_{1} - \mathbf{r}_{2}|} d\mathbf{r}_{1} d\mathbf{r}_{2} + E_{xc}[\rho(\mathbf{r})]$$
Kinetics of External Coulombic interaction electrons
$$\bigcup Kohn-Sham equations$$

$$\Box Kohn-Sham equations$$

#### **Kohn-Sham equations**

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^{2} + \sum_{I} \frac{Z_{I}e^{2}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{I}|} + \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}')d\mathbf{r}'}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + V_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix} \psi_{m}(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_{m}\psi_{m}(\mathbf{r})$$
kinetic External potential potential potential potential  $V_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\delta E_{xc}[\rho(\mathbf{r})]}{\delta\rho(\mathbf{r})}$ 

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{m=1}^{cccup} \left| \psi_{m}(\mathbf{r}) \right|^{2}$$

Georgia Tech

#### DFT

# ■Exchange-correlation potential ■ depends on density and its variations V<sub>xc</sub>[ρ](**r**) = V<sub>xc</sub> [ρ(**r**), ∇ρ(**r**), ∇(∇ρ(**r**)),...] ■ Local density approximation (LDA): only **r** (not gradients) E<sup>LDA</sup><sub>xc</sub>(**r**) = ∫ρ(**r**)ε<sub>ec</sub>[ρ(**r**)]d**r**

where  $\varepsilon_{ec}[\rho(\mathbf{r})] = C \times \rho^{1/3}(\mathbf{r})$  and  $C = -3 / 4 \times (3 / \pi)^{1/3}$ 

 Generalized gradient approximation (GGA): with gradient

$$E_{xc}^{GGA}(\mathbf{r}) = \int F[\rho(\mathbf{r}), \nabla \rho(\mathbf{r})] d\mathbf{r}$$



## DFT Algorithm





#### Summary

# Quantum Monte Carlo Hartree-Fock Self-Consistency Field Density Functional Theory





# **Further Readings**

#### **Quantum Monte Carlo**

□ Foulkes W.M.C., Mitas L., Needs R.J. and Rajagopal G. (2001) Quantum Monte Carlo simulations of solids. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **73**(1):33-83

#### **Density Functional Theory**

Koch W. and Holthausen M.C. (2001) A Chemist's Guide to Density Functional Theory (Weinhaim: Wiley-VCH) ISBNs:3-527-30372-3, 3-527-60004-3

